


Identity



Magazine of the British National Party

September 2007 - Issue 82 - £2.40

Is Time Running Out For The Global Economy?

**John Bean writes about
another BNP prediction that
looks as if it's coming true**

This Month

**Nick Griffin exposes the
rats behind the rumours**

**The Unholy Alliance -
the relationship between
the Left and Islam examined**

News

Muslims Jailed For Grooming Schoolgirls

Two men of Pakistani origin, Zulfar Hussain, 46, from Blackburn and Qaiser Naveed, 32, from Burnley, were both jailed last month for five years and eight months for "exploiting" vulnerable white schoolgirls. After the case was heard police said the men were detained as part of Operation Engage, which targets men who groom vulnerable young girls for sex.

Little coverage was given to the case in the national press, although it was reported on ITN national news, where a reporter said: "Local MPs have said the BNP was exploiting this issue."

The BNP first brought the degrading topic of "grooming" to the nation's attention more than three years ago. White schoolgirls in the Yorkshire town of Keighley were, the BNP reported, being systematically plied with alcohol and drugs and raped by Asian Muslim males (not Sikhs or Hindus). It was reported that this was also taking place in other towns including Blackburn and Bradford. This was referred to by Nick Griffin in several speeches to private BNP meetings, secretly filmed by a BBC plant, and was part of the prosecution evidence in the two failed Leeds Crown Court cases.

Bonus For Flood-Handling Chief

Some blame must be attached to the Environment Agency for its inadequate handling of the recent disastrous floods, particularly as it failed to prioritise flood protection over its other statutory duties. Yet its chief executive, Labour Peer Baroness Young, who is on a salary of £163,000 a year, has recently been given a bonus of £24,000.

More Service Jobs Exported

With the drastic decline in manufacturing as a result of global capitalism, leading economists have been telling us that Britain has no choice but to become increasingly dependent on its service sector.

Meanwhile leading insurance company Prudential continues to slim down its UK workforce, yet announces that it's planning to hire at least 10,000 new staff at its



Indian joint venture insurance operation.

Barclays has also announced it is cutting 1,100 jobs at its back office processing centre in Poole, Dorset. About 400 jobs are being moved to Barclays locations elsewhere in the world, such as India and South Africa.

Another victim of global cheap labour is Dolgarrog Aluminium in North Wales, which is to close with the loss of 200 jobs. It is Britain's last fully integrated casting and rolling aluminium mill.

Immigrants Take Four in Ten Homes

Researchers at the House of Commons Library have disclosed that four in 10 homes built over the past 10 years have been needed because of immigration. Nearly 600,000 properties have had to be constructed because of the new arrivals since Labour came to power in 1997. With Eastern Europeans continuing to pour in, this total is certain to have climbed even higher.

Gordon Brown is planning to build three million more homes by 2020. But based on current levels of immigration, 1.2million, 40%, of the new homes will be needed to house immigrants. No figure is available for the housing requirements of the descendants of existing immigrants.

The BNP was the first party to draw attention to the drastic effect of immigration upon the housing market, and were abused by Labour and Lib Dem MPs for doing so. As these figures have been supplied by the House of Commons' own researchers, will Labour MPs continue to deny their authenticity as they seek to shore up their power with immigrant votes?

Blair's Secret Talks With Murdoch Revealed

The influence of the international media baron, Rupert Murdoch whose papers include *The Times* and *The Sun*, is again in the news after it was revealed that he had meetings with Tony Blair three times in nine days in the run-up to the invasion of

Iraq, then another three meetings and/or conversations nine months later. The fourth meeting was the day after *The Sun's* publication of the Hutton report, and the fifth on 25 April 2004 shortly after Blair agreed (!) to hold a referendum on the EU treaty.


Praise must be given to Lord Avebury for his repeated requests over four years to disclose this information under the Freedom of Information Act.

Whites Are America's New Ethnic Minority

A warning for Britain comes from the latest census data which shows that whites are now outnumbered by other ethnic groups in one in 10 of America's 3,141 counties. In 2000 whites were in a minority in only 262 US counties. The shift is the result of immigration, largely from Central and South America and the higher birth rate among blacks and Hispanics.

Nationally, the number of minorities in the USA topped 100 million for the first time. By 2050 whites will be in a minority overall.

The Democratic and Republican candidates for next year's presidential candidates are already seeking to woo different ethnic groups with carefully tailored policies such as an amnesty for illegal immigrants just like Britain's Lib-Lab-Con Party.



identity

Editor: John Bean
Design: Mark Collett
September 2007
Public reference:
ISSN 1469-7971
Subscriptions Dept:
PO Box 87, Ossett,
Wakefield, WF5 8WN
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.
Subscriptions@bnp.org.uk

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format:
PO Box 97, Newmarket,
Suffolk CB8 1WT.
Email: identity@bnp.org.uk



The Global Slump - Another BNP Prediction

The decision by America's Federal Reserve to slash the discount rate had an initial response in halting the virtual collapse of the global financial system. But the story is not over yet and by the time these words appear in print it is likely that several overseas banks will have gone to the wall. As far as Britain is concerned this will affect all future investment and in consequence reduce any more British jobs that might be created, or saved.

In its opposition to the global capitalist society, our rejection of free trade and call for tariffs on selected imports that can damage our remaining manufacturing base, all necessary steps to give some insulation from the worst aspects of unstable world markets, the BNP has again been proven right.

Our Party's prescience in forecasting the current global turmoil matches the same record it holds over the dismal failure of Lib-Lab-Con in seeing that immigration was heading to an almost unstoppable tidal flow; that a European trading agreement was being turned into an anti-European culture Marxist state; that we were reaching 'peak oil and needed to look at alternative energy sources; that Nick Griffin was right when he said that there were some aspects of Islam that made it "wicked"; that some Muslims were grooming under-age white girls for sex; and that a culmination of laws controlling choice was destroying our freedom. Unlike one or two of the more honest people in the old parties who have suddenly decided to speak out, some of us have been making these points for the last twenty years or more, including when it was not 'voter friendly' to do so.

To return to the global rout in the credit markets, it stemmed from the greed of the hedge fund industry who made mortgages available to people on low income in America - known as 'sub-prime lending'. The globalists' drive to seeking ever cheaper labour costs led to many workers finding their jobs being exported and being unable to keep up their mortgage payments. As US unemployment continues to rise this problem will only get worse. The debts of the mortgage companies were flogged off in parcels around the world to other 'hedgie' investment bankers. These new masters of the universe began to make big losses, which was then reflected in the global stock markets. For example, Goldman Sachs' Global Equity Opportunities fund lost nearly 40%. Morgan Stanley's \$1.7bn Highbridge Statistical Opportunities fund lost nearly 20% of its value at one point. This was outdone by Australia's Basis Capital which said losses of its hedge funds may exceed 80%.

With all this panic setting in, the US Federal Reserve act of dropping the discount rate to US banks was really nothing more than US state aid for the hedge fund industry. It was a US government bail-out of Wall Street bankers.

The American finance system supplied the motor for the rise to dominance of global capitalism. A prerequisite of this was for manufacturing to become part of that global system, which led to the decrease in American and European manufacturing as it was increasingly switched to the cheaper labour markets of the East, and China in particular. Subsequently China now has the world's biggest trade surplus and the largest haul of foreign exchange reserves: £1.3 trillion. America, with shrinking dollar reserves, has the largest trade deficit on the planet, and Britain has the third largest. Interestingly, Russia, which a decade ago was about to collapse economically, has pursued a nationalist policy under Putin and now has the world's third biggest currency reserves.

The EU Has No Answer

For Britain to enter more fully into the EU and become part of the European Monetary System (i.e. join the Euro) would not give any protection from the global financial free-for-all. Its dedication to free trade has forced open our borders to a torrent of cheap, often substandard imports. Witness the dangerous Chinese toys coated in lead paints from global company Mattel (Fischer Price). Also, look at this comment from Bernard Connolly, a former head of economic research for the European Commission, writing in *The Daily Telegraph* Business section 20.08.07: "... the EU quite deliberately created the most dangerous credit bubble of all: the EMU. And whereas the mission of the Fed is to avoid a financial crisis, the mission for the European Central Bank is to provoke one. The purpose of the crisis will be, as Prodi, then Commission president, said in 2002, to allow the EU to take more power for itself. The sacrificial victims will be, in the first instance, families and firms (and banks and investors) in countries such as Ireland and Club Med. German savers (or British taxpayers) will bear the burden of bailouts that a newly empowered 'EU economic government' will ordain."

In essence, the BNP view is that we must retain, and regain, British ownership and control of British industry and resources, including financial resources. We must give protection for industry and jobs by selective tariffs on foreign manufactured goods. We should stop artificially inflating the value of the pound, which makes British workers more expensive and foreign workers cheaper. Although an over-valued pound means cheap foreign holidays and imports in the short run, the resulting trade deficit destroys jobs, depletes our productive industry, increases foreign ownership of our economy, raises our indebtedness to foreigners, and is unsustainable in the long term.

John Bean



New readers in particular may wonder what this month's column is all about after all, 'normal' parties don't have to deal with this stuff. Indeed. But this is not a normal party, it's a movement of and for radical national rebirth, a real alternative to the incestuous old gang status quo. Hundreds of thousands of pounds are therefore spent every year by leftist unions, Labour front groups, PC police authorities and quasi-Marxist 'charities' such as the Joseph Rowntree Trust, for them to build the machinery to subvert and attack us. To know their *modus operandi* is to be three quarters of the way to defeating them.

Caught red-handed! That was the fate of a BNP election candidate and activist who turned out to be an opposition spy and agent provocateur. Chris Brennan, who stood for us in Luton back in May, turned out to be a paid informer for the far-left/ultra-Zionist anti-BNP organisation *Searchlight*.

Various aspects of Brennan's behaviour quickly aroused suspicion. Some of his mistakes will not be mentioned, so that his *Searchlight* handlers can't incorporate the lessons into the training weekends they run for their snitches. Other aspects, such as the fact that he was the common denominator in a number of otherwise inexplicable fallings-out between good local activists, his constant pushing of 'hardline' extremism and crude anti-Semitism, are such obvious give-aways that it does no harm to point out that they provided us with the earliest of early warning signs.

So Brennan was duly made the subject of an internal intelligence review, which quickly found incontrovertible evidence that he was working for *Searchlight*. This explained, among other things, his efforts to stir up 'internal' trouble, setting people against each other by inventing hostile comments he claimed to have overheard. This use of paid agents not merely as informers but as tools with which to disrupt our organisation is of particular note in the

context of this article, and is a subject to which we will return later.

Most useful of all in the Brennan case has been the unearthing of the fact that he wasn't working alone. As in the earlier instance where a *Searchlight* agent effectively took over Bradford BNP and then provided 'cover' for BBC mole Jason Gwynne and his 'Secret Agent' stunt - and as appears to have happened in the recent failed coup attempt to derail the nationalist trade union Solidarity - it is clear that these people can do more damage working in mutually supportive little groups than they can as isolated rotten apples.

This concerted programme of subversion is well-funded, well-organised and relentless, not least because Gerry Gable has turned opposing the BNP into a very nice little earner for himself, his friends and his cronies from the Stalinist wing of the old Communist Party, which is where they nearly all met. Hence Brennan is only unusual in that we have been able to unearth concrete proof of his treachery. More often, we have to be content with looking at what troublesome individuals do, judging from the disruption they leave in their wake that they are also "wrong 'uns".

INTELLIGENCE WORK

How many of them are there? We simply cannot tell, although other defensive intelligence work has given us a good picture of how they recruit and work, and of some of the individuals most likely to be working for them. One thing we can say for certain is that since it is worth *Searchlight* recruiting or inserting a 'tout' in a place like Luton - a town so 'enriched' that it has only a couple of wards which the BNP could possibly win - then it is most definitely worth their while trying to find others in the far larger number of places where we have the potential for power-winning breakthroughs.

What is particularly disgusting is that this systematic subversion of a legitimate



A Tidal Wave of Anti-BNP Propaganda

>> Nick Griffin explains who is responsible - and why they lie.

political party is financed with money from the National Lottery, from trade union funds and grants of taxpayers' money channeled through the police and Home Office.

What is even worse is the fact that one of the main techniques used by *Searchlight's* little helpers within our ranks - from Ray Hill back in the early eighties to creatures like Brennan - is to encourage impressionable youngsters in particular to adopt extremist attitudes and poses.

To put it at its crudest, the arch 'anti-fascist' Gerry Gable does everything in his power to turn modern democratic nationalists into old-fashioned Nazis. Why? Because hate-filled Nazi cranks make pathetically easy targets for demonisation, and because the artificially created 'threat' of a new Nazi resurgence is the bogey that Gable & Co use to con money out of naïve charity bureaucrats.

That is not to say that everyone arguing (mainly on cranky Internet forums) in favour of 'hardline' policies and anti-Semitism, or trying to stir up trouble for the BNP leadership either locally or nationally, is a *Searchlight* agent. To be fair to these cranks and losers, many do it simply because they are cranks and losers. Some are mentally and ideologically committed to totalitarianism, others are unhealthily seduced by the 'glamour' of uniforms and jackboots, and the fantasies of unlimited power that sometimes appeal to the powerless.

Between them, these different types of fanatics have created a virtual cottage industry of deeply counter-productive and negative extremism, at the centre of which are several mutually supporting Lie Factories. Each week, these pump into cyberspace circulation literally dozens of rumours and lies about chaos and corruption in the British National Party. In large part there is no point even trying to refute them, since even when they are shown to be utterly groundless they either drop them and invent fresh lies, or ignore

the rebuttals and simply go on repeating proven nonsense as if it were Gospel.


Over the last year or so, the volume of these black propaganda lies has increased enormously. This is the result of a marked change of tactics by the leftist creators of the whole smear strategy. Until recently, they mainly left the bulk of the work inventing and spreading disruptive fantasies to their network of moles. With their increasing despair at our relentless advance towards the political mainstream, and the parallel collapse of the far-left, however, they have been forced to switch tactics.

Magazines such as *Searchlight*, and websites such as those run by Marxist thugs such as UAF, used to be mainly designed to mobilise leftists into organising against us with pickets, demonstrations and leaflet drops. Increasingly, however, this part of their operation is handicapped by their sheer lack of numbers. As a result they are spending more and more time churning out increasingly hysterical propaganda aimed not at their activists, but at ours.

Thus *Searchlight's* main weapon these days is its sister magazine the pseudo-nationalist *Heritage & Destiny*. The most basic perusal of the anti-BNP whinings and fantasies of 'Nick Lowles' in *Searchlight* and Peter Rushton (writing as 'Rushmore' in *H&D* and 'Andy Ritchie' on the Internet), makes it crystal clear that they are one and the same person. In one he writes in his real persona - a hardcore Marxist and 'gay' rights enthusiast - in the other as a 'hardline' racial nationalist and therefore critic of the 'sell-out' BNP.

Rushton is especially hostile at present to Arthur Kemp, the Rhodesian author of *The March of the Titans* who many BNP members will have met and heard speak for the first time at this year's Red-White-and-Blue. The *Searchlight* bigwig is particularly desperate to smear Arthur for two reasons. First, his talent, skills and experience make him a very valuable addition to our central team.

Second, when Arthur spent several



years working in London during the early nineties, he was introduced to Rushton, who he took at face value - until several things which only Rushton knew appeared in *Searchlight*. Arthur then unearthed Rushton's gay/Marxist postings on leftist Internet discussion sites, and thus produced the best of all the substantial body of evidence that Rushton is a long-term Marxist infiltrator (<http://www.white-history.com/rushton/>).

Despite the widespread publication of all the damning material, Rushton's patently obvious leftist agenda is ignored by Britain's small but vociferous Hollywood Nazi fringe, a motley crew who hate the BNP the more successful it becomes, as every advance we make highlights even further the cretinous failure of their own efforts.

NATIVE RADICAL TRADITION

There has existed for decades an undeclared and often unseen war for the soul of our nationalism. On the one side those whose mentality and aims are drawn from foreign 1930s totalitarianism and worship of the State, power and the fantasy of endless economic growth; on the other those who draw our inspiration from homegrown radicals like William Cobbett, William Morris, William Blake, Bob Blatchford, G.K. Chesterton and Hilaire Belloc.

In the British National Party since 1999, but increasingly obviously more recently, the native 'folkish', anti-Statist radical tradition has for the first time outside of two brief false dawns in the seventies and eighties, established itself as the dominant force within British nationalism. In non-ideological terms, those motivated mainly by hate of others and fantasies of domination have been sidelined by those motivated mainly by love of our own and ideals of separation.

But even though they have been sidelined, the foreign-inspired State-worshippers and haters have not yet given up the fight. In fact, reinforced by a new generation recruited by the power of the Internet to spread bad ideas every bit as quickly as good ones, they have for several years now been trying to claw their way back in to influence and power over the BNP, not least during the recent leadership challenge, which was supported by every last Hollywood Nazi crank in cyberspace. Fortunately, they are not very bright; unfortunately for those of us in their way, there is no limit to their dishonesty or to the lies they are prepared to tell to try to drag us down into their gutter.

These people have yet again been comprehensively beaten, but their smear-machine is still working flat out. While it is impossible to refute every such lie without drowning in a sea of defensive negativity, it is essential that the party is inoculated against their poison. Which is why this

article has explained their motivation and modus operandi, and why we will take a brief look at a couple of the current Big Lies emanating from the Nutzis and their Marxist allies:

The first is that the party's 2005 accounts reveal a leadership 'living high on the hog' with £63,216.09 supposedly spent on five star hotels and jet-setting luxury. The detailed breakdown shown below, blows the trouble-makers' pitiful lies out of the water.

The newest tall story is that I have stolen hundreds of thousands of pounds from under the noses of our independent auditors and the Electoral Commission and bought a vast chunk of Croatia in which - according to taste and fantasy - I am either going to set up a Race War training camp or a retirement villa. Outrageous, scandalous stuff - if there was any truth in it, but there isn't.

We were approached last year by a supporter who has inherited land in Croatia, and he asked a small group of us to go over and to assess the viability of the project, to put him in touch with the MEPs of our European sister parties for help with development grants and - if all went

well - to employ as many BNP craftsmen as possible and to give the BNP a 'cut' in exchange for our help. So we went - via bucket shop flights - we saw his land, and we produced a list of points that would have to be addressed before the project could be regarded as viable. And that's where it ends. If the problems could be overcome the party would stand to gain substantially, but it may be that they cannot.

The purpose of the *Searchlight*/Nutzi lie factory claims of financial shenanigans is very clear: Our opponents are terrified of our electoral potential, but electioneering is expensive, so inventing lies to worry donors is a more effective way of opposing us than tramping streets putting out leaflets telling an incredulous public that asylum-seekers aren't taking us all for a ride. Even if only a handful of donors are put off by their lies, and by the folly of gossip-



The Truth About BNP Accounts

According to the Internet Lie Machine, the BNP's 2005 accounts reveal 'corruption', with **£63,216.09** supposedly spent on 'Entertainment & Travel'. In fact, when set out in full, the figures show a very different story to the black propaganda fantasy of jet-setting luxury:

Fuel - £30,009.93. This includes the party's monthly literature run, Nick Griffin's average 40,000 miles a year on speaking engagements and a host of other mileage-eating but essential journeys by key personnel;

Accommodation - £6,901.70. This includes the frequent use of cheap motels by staff on the long journeys already mentioned);

Entertainment - £7,687.49. Made up largely of RWB costs such as marquees, displays and fireworks, costs at the Trafalgar Club dinner, buffets at all-day events such as planning conferences and Advisory Council meetings, and looking after guests from the Front National and other overseas groups with which we need cooperation;

Meals - £963.32. Less than £100 per month and including, ironically, several with individuals who have gone on to complain that these figures are too high;

Room Hire - £1,522.40;

Subsistence - £4,010.25. The incidental expenses such as motorway services sandwiches and snacks which go with the huge amount of travel outlined above;

Travel - £8,816.00. Rail/Taxis/Air the year in question saw several important visits to the USA, which later brought back in donations to the BNP, Civil Liberty and Solidarity substantially more than their total cost, and thus clearly benefit the nationalist cause as a whole. It is also at times cheaper for staff who need to be in London, for example to meet the Electoral Commission or lawyers, to travel by train and taxi rather than by car.

RWB toilet hire - £3,305.00.

Not an extra from *Shaun of the Dead*
but Marxist crank Peter Rushton



mongers who repeat them, then their Lie Machine is doing its work.

Another tactic is to present the individual clashes that inevitably arise in any large organisation as always the fault of the leadership. To give just one example, former Stoke BNP councillor Mark Leat was caught plotting to stand an independent candidate against the BNP loyalist Mike Coleman. Stoke British National Party were prepared to forgive him for this, but when the time came at the start of the new council year to state which party he would be with, he refused to sign up as a BNP councillor unless he was given a chair of a committee and hence an extra £8,000 a year.

Stoke BNP's council group leader very properly refused to give in to this threat so Leat resigned. He is now scheming with a *Searchlight*-run phoney 'nationalist' party to split the BNP vote in Stoke and, of course, the anti-BNP Lie Machine is claiming that a good BNP councillor has been "forced out by Nick Griffin and Simon Darby". Needless to say, no mention is made of the fact that that our six loyal councillors in Stoke are very happy that the party's regional and national leadership backed them in resisting what they saw as blackmail.

Of course, if Leat had been in the right and therefore we'd backed him against our council group leader and other councillors

(as we would have done), then the stirrers would have squealed instead about how wrong we were to back him instead of the majority. It is vital to understand that right and wrong is irrelevant to these people - they don't want justice, or even more financial transparency, or a successful party - their purpose is simply to cause trouble and damage morale.

All their nonsense is a last ditch attempt to throw us off track before next year's London Assembly and 2009 European elections. Our opponents are terrified that the BNP is poised to break into the mainstream in these proportional representation elections, and black propaganda is nowadays about the only weapon they have left to try to stop us.

MISPLACED SYMPATHY

It is also the most easily defeated - now that you know what's behind it all you need to do is to ignore it. Don't pass it on, and look carefully at the motivation of those who do. If you hear someone doing *Searchlight*'s dirty work, pull them up over it. And if they won't stop, don't have any misplaced sympathy for them when we boot them out.

This is not about stopping free speech. Lively, even ferocious, internal debates about policies, tactics and how to make the party ever more accountable to its activist hardcore are both needed and welcome. But such debates must be conducted through the proper channels, and the overwhelming majority of our officials and key activists

have already decreed that the proper channels are Voting Members' discussion groups, meetings and conference motions. Not Internet forums run by other groups, and especially not those run by an unholy alliance of leftist agents provocateurs and Nutzi cranks.

The result of the recent BNP leadership election is a huge mandate not just for me as leader but also for the Voting Membership system as the way to democratise the party without leaving it open to factionalising and liberal or extremist takeover bids.

It is also a clear warning to those who wanted to do things differently to shut up or get out. The 9% who voted for Mr. Jackson are by no means all bad. But perhaps a quarter of them are little balls of born-loser resentment against anyone with talent greater than theirs and the ability to apply it constructively - for these are the sort of individuals who contract the nihilistic extremist cancer that eats away at commonsense, decency and faith in our people. Over the rest of this year the members of this Negative Tendency will systematically be quietly approached and given one last chance to accept commonsense and exercise self-restraint - or go.

Of course the anti-BNP websites and forums, and perhaps even the national media, will claim that the inevitable removal of the rotten apples is "the BNP falling apart", but the reality will be very different. The removal of a few negative fools, and even the resulting regrettable - though generally temporary - demoralisation of kind-hearted members who like them as individuals, are not a 'split' but a house-cleaning. And that is what we are going to have. When the rubbish has been binned we'll be a happier party, more united and more effective than ever.

For some time before the election, malcontents were able to hide behind the leadership challenge - if we'd moved to cut out their poison they'd have said it was to stop the contest. Having had the contest they have lost that cover. I have no quarrel with anyone who backed the losing side, for they were entitled to do so. We welcome concerns and alternative views expressed through proper channels, constructive criticism of our overstretched organisation's weaknesses, and searching questions.

But no-one has a 'right' to lie. No one has a right to undermine the BNP - the only organisation giving the faintest hope of national survival. So we are cutting out the last of the poison-mongers. It doesn't matter if they are councillors or donors or minor party heroes or otherwise good activists - none of these pluses outweighs the damage done by individuals who spread lies. Whether plants, dupes or negative losers, they will be tolerated no longer, for they damage our Cause, and we do not have the time for such folly.

Tomorrow is Another Country

» Reviewed by Arthur Kemp

"What is wrong with the UK's asylum policy?" is the subtitle of this book - and that about sums it up. Myles Harris, a doctor and a journalist who has worked extensively in the Third World and has written for many of this country's most prominent daily newspapers, has produced an easy-to-read, concise summary of the disaster which is Britain's asylum policy.

The UK, he points out, is experiencing mass immigration on a scale never seen before, and which will, if left unchecked, have devastating and unalterable consequences for this country. At current levels, it is estimated that Britain can expect a net inflow of over two million - mainly Third World - asylum 'immigrants' over the next ten years.

Why is Britain the asylum capital of Europe? Harris shows that the key to understanding the cause of the asylum-seeker inflow - which is mainly bogus and largely economic migrant in nature - is Britain's adherence to the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). Created in the 1950s to offer refuge to the victims of Soviet persecution, it has been developed to offer asylum to literally anybody else in the world.

In October 2000, the Labour government passed the Human Rights Act, which set down the provisions of the ECHR into British law. As a result, any person who claims to be a "refugee" - no matter how patently bogus the claim - and who manages to set foot on British soil, must be admitted to this country to have their claim heard.

This has led to the spectacle of Third World 'asylum seekers' actually destroying their passports and identification documents inside port and airport buildings and simply manufacturing claims in order to reach the promised land of benefits and honey.

Indeed, as Harris points out, employing fraud and deception to enter Britain does not invalidate a claim for asylum at all. Under the law, illegal immigrants can declare, years later if they wish - that they are asylum-seekers, even if they made no such claim upon their original arrival in this country (when they might have pretended to be tourists, for example).

OPENING THE FLOODGATES

In this way, thousands and thousands of Zimbabweans have entered the UK, now all claiming to be 'refugees' from Robert Mugabe - all the while conveniently forgetting that it was not too long ago that Mugabe used to win elections (judged 'free and fair' by UK observers) by overwhelming majorities...

Even better for bogus asylum seekers, once settled in the UK, they can resist removal under the Labour Party act by claiming a "violation of their right to family life."

The bottom line is, as Harris makes the point, if any Third Worlder can actually make it onto dry land in Britain, the chances of being sent back are so small that the effort is well worth it.


This disgraceful state of affairs can only be rectified by a repeal of the Human Rights Act and a total revision of Britain's immigration law. Given current population projections, Britain is set to lose its White native majority status within the next fifty

Tomorrow is another country

What is wrong with the UK's asylum policy?

Myles Harris

Complementary essay by David Conway



Tomorrow is another country - what is wrong with the UK's asylum policy? by Myles Harris (Civitas) is available from Excalibur Heritage Books, £6 (£5 plus £1 postage and packing). Please make cheques or postal orders payable to 'Excalibur'; PO Box 21684, Falkirk, SK1 9BB. Call 01324 555187 to order by card.

years. Failure to address this issue will see Britain change from a First World nation into a Third World nation.

It is therefore, an issue which extends beyond conventional politics, and cuts to the very core of the existence of our folk itself. As Harris concludes: "Taking in large numbers of economic migrants because you cannot think of any good reason to keep them out... is irresponsible and foolish. Politicians often talk of oaths of loyalty for refugees, but it is our own loyalty that we need to revive."



Excalibur

Please make cheques & POs payable to 'Excalibur' only.
Send orders to Excalibur, P.O. Box 21684, Falkirk, FK1 9BB.
Shop online at www.bnp.org.uk or by tel: 01324 555187.
All major credit and debit cards accepted.

SUMMER SALE

FREE DVD BOXSET

Spend over £10.00 on any merchandise at Excalibur and get a Red, White & Blue 2005 3 disk DVD box set absolutely FREE!

The first two disks feature footage of the weekend's activities including entertainment and speeches and much more, while the third disk features Nick Griffin's powerful closing speech.

This offer will only run while stocks last, so order early to ensure you get your free gift, which normally retails at £20.00.

Order the first BNPTv produced DVDs *England Expects: With Nelson to Victory* and *East Ended* together and get them - with your free RWB 2005 DVD for the combined price of £17.50 inc. p&p.




SAVE 40% DOUBLE DVD DEAL!

England Expects: is a beautifully shot and detailed biopic of Admiral Lord Nelson. It is also a celebration of his life, timed to coincide with the 200th anniversary of his death at the moment of his greatest glory, the Battle of Trafalgar. Made on location across England and following in Nelson's footsteps to the places with which he was most familiar, the film is presented by Nick Griffin and Eddy Butler, and also features Jonathan Bowden.

East Ended: Tells the story of the white working class community in London's East End from the turn of the 20th century to the present day.

They retail individually at £14.99 and £13.99 respectively which represents a saving of 40% on the combined purchase deal. This offer will run only as long as stocks last.



The BNP ... challenges the leftist dogma that nationalism is acceptable only when it is based on non-ethnic factors. 'Nationalist patriotism may be expressed at the same time with regards to the nation as an ethnic community of its citizens. The Nationalist is called to love his fatherland, which has a territorial dimension, and his brothers by blood who live everywhere in the world.' In addition, 'the patriotism of the Nationalist shall be active. It is manifested when he defends his fatherland against an enemy, works for the good of the motherland, cares for the good order of (a) people's life through, among other things, participation in the affairs of government. The Nationalist is called to preserve and defend the national culture and people's self awareness.'

These remarks are what one might expect the BNP to make on the subject of patriotism and the nation as an ethnic community, aren't they? Only, they weren't made by the BNP at all. Change the words 'BNP' to 'the Bishops' and 'Nationalist' to 'Christian or Orthodox Christian' as the case may be, and we find a statement which was issued by the Eastern Orthodox Church. ('Bases of the Social Concept of the Russian Orthodox Church', Jubilee Bishops' Council of the Russian Orthodox Church, 13-16 August 2000, pp 4-7)

How is it that churches in Britain can sing a tune so different from that of the Russian Orthodox Church on this subject? Why do so many church leaders in Britain go out of their way to condemn the BNP, a party which is described in a generally fair article in the Jewish Web Magazine *Think-Israel* as, "by world standards, a fairly conventional right-wing populist ethno-nationalist party" reflecting the national characteristics of the British, and whose economic thinking is not fascistic, having moved away from socialism towards "an admiration for the paternalistic developmental economics of East Asian Nations like Japan and the Asian tigers."

THE OLD TESTAMENT

The fact is that it is the Eastern Orthodox Church which has the weight of Scriptural authority on its side. In Genesis 10:31, three groups of nations are created from the descendents of the sons of Noah after the great flood: Ham, (whence 'Hamitic' races) Shem (from whence 'Semitic') and Japheth, (the ancestors of the Europeans). These descendents are the 'sons' of their respective progenitors, "according to their families, according to their languages, by their lands, according to their nations." Thus the Old Testament makes it clear that the basis of a nation is blood kinship, and that these nations have their own territories in which to live and have their own languages. This is not an accident, something that just happened, but God's will: "When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated mankind, he fixed the boundaries of the peoples." (Deut 32:8). Throughout the Old Testament, reference is made to nations rather


than to individuals. One of these nations was of course Israel, and it is made very clear in this context that ethnic homogeneity is something to be prized as a source of harmony and human flourishing. In Genesis 24:3,4 Abraham makes his servant swear "by the Lord, the God of Heaven and Earth, that you will not get a wife for my son from the daughters of the (Hamitic) Canaanites, among whom I live, but will go to my country and to my (Semitic) kindred and get a wife for my son Isaac." Moses forbade the Israelites, once they had entered the Promised Land, to take wives from among the Canaanites, since if they did, they would fall prey to their religious and cultural practices, and thus destroy their communities. (Exodus 34:12-16). God himself forbids intermarriage for these reasons in Deuteronomy 7:3 "Do not intermarry with

them, giving your daughters to their sons or taking their daughters for their sons for that would turn away your children from following Me." Such intermarriage would undermine the 'holy seed' when it is "mixed with the people of other lands." (Ezra 9:1,2)

There are accounts of interracial and interethnic marriages which are blessed in the Old Testament. But these are invariably alliances between racially and ethnically related groups, for example Moses's marriage to a Medianite (Semitic) woman. But there is an ongoing principle in Biblical law and example that inter-racial, inter-ethnic and inter-cultural marriages, as with unequal yokings of other types, though not condemned as such, are not to be encouraged as they undermine the community which they are designed to establish and support.

There is nothing in the Old Testament

Christianity & Nationalism



» The BNP is, of course, a secular party with members' private religious beliefs ranging from active Christians, of all denominations, to agnostics, plus a few followers of non-Christian beliefs. In this article Tim Heydon* shows that the Bible supports both nationalism and ethnic individuality. What is more, right up until the 20th Century so did the Western Christian Church.

to suggest that the existence of nations is a transitional arrangement, on the way to an eventual Oneness. On the contrary, the move is in the other direction; from Oneness to the separate nations. And everywhere, the continuing existence of nations, based on blood kinship, is celebrated as God's will, just as separate families are. Thus, the Psalmist says, "All the ends of the earth shall remember, and turn to the Lord, and all the families of the nations shall worship before Him." (Psalm 22:27)

THE NEW TESTAMENT

What, though of the New Testament? In Christian theology, the coming of Jesus instituted a new order in the world. Does this not mean that the Christian response must be a new morality in which the

guiding principle is one of love, a principle which must, as is argued by British Churches, do away with all divisions of people in a world of love, so that Christians must welcome the extinction of our separate identity as a people, the suppression of our culture in favour of others and the disappearance of our way of life in a new multicultural, multiracial society?

In fact it is not the case that the New Testament advocates an assault on individual nations or ethnic identities. It reaffirms, rather than denies, the Old Testament position on their existence and their function in promoting human flourishing as the will of God, who "from one ancestor... made all nations to inhabit the whole earth, and... allotted the times of their existence and the boundaries of the places where they should live." (Acts 16;

26) The nations are not barriers to the institution of God's Kingdom, which must be done away with, but adjuncts to it which will continue to exist in it: Jesus was sent by God so that "all other peoples may seek the Lord, even all the nations who are called by my name" (Acts 15:7); The Book of Revelation tells us, about the Light of God in Heaven, that "the nations will walk by its Light" and "people will bring in to it the glory and honour of the nations." (Rev. 21 24, 26)

St Paul is often called upon by those who deny the need for nations, for example Romans 10:12 where he states, "For there is no distinction between Jew and Greek; the same Lord is Lord of all and is generous to all who call on him." The same idea is expressed in Galatians 3:28, "There is no longer Jew or Greek, there is no longer slave or free, there is no longer male and female; for all of you are one in Christ Jesus." But here, Paul is not saying that ethnic or racial identities no longer existed for Christians, or that they should not be considered important, or that they can or should be set aside and done away with. That is an unscholarly construction put on his words by those who are reading them through the spectacles of a pre-existing left-liberal, politically correct or globalist bias. Paul was saying that these differences did not exist in a spiritual sense only; that God's offer of salvation is made to all regardless of the differences that *do* exist.

St Paul makes this interpretation of his words abundantly clear when he is writing about himself. He had several identities to choose from: he was a citizen of Tarsus, and was proud of it - it was 'no mean city.' He was also a Roman citizen, a fact he made use of on occasions when he was in trouble with the authorities, since Roman citizens had legal privileges. He was at least partially Greek by education and language. Before his conversion, by religion he had been a pharisaical Jew. But he was by birth one of God's chosen people, a Jew, and he remained proud of the fact: "I ask then, has God rejected *his people* (my italics)? By no means! I myself am an Israelite, a descendant of the tribe of Benjamin." (Romans 11:1). He could wish that "I myself were accursed and cut off from Christ for the sake of *my own people, my kindred according to the flesh*" (my italics) (Romans 9:3). It is clear that Paul made a distinction between his ethnic nation and his other identities. His ethnic identity as a Jew is not superseded by his identity as a brother in Christ, rather he affirms both.

But in discussing the Christian attitude to ethnicity or race, we have not so far mentioned Jesus's approach directly. Is there any thing that Jesus said or did specifically which can guide Christians in this matter? There are those who point to Jesus's injunction to "love your enemy" as a command to abandon borders and embrace mass immigration. But when he spoke of

'enemy' Jesus was referring, not to the enemies of one's country or those who wish to take over a country and destroy its national identity, culture and way of life, but *personal* enemies, those whom one hates.

Again, when Jesus commands Christians to love others as themselves, this does not mean that Christians should humble themselves before others in an attitude of self flagellating submission, or destroy their own ethnic identity, culture and so on in an effort to create an undifferentiated oneness. Though he reached out to members of other ethnic groups, Jesus himself lived very much within and as part of Jewish culture and in the context of the Judaism of his time. His parable of the good Samaritan demonstrates his teaching. In the parable, a man, a Jew, who is on a journey is set upon, robbed and left injured. Today, we should say he had been mugged. Several important members of his own race and religion passed by on the other side of the road, ignoring him. But a Samaritan, a member of an ethnic group which was historically antagonistic to the Jews, saw him, dressed his wounds and helped him as much as he could. Jesus does not say or imply that the Samaritan should lose his identity as an


ethnic Samaritan or that the robbed and wounded Jew that the Samaritan helped, should stop being a Jew. Rather, he says that being a good neighbour, to love others as one's self, means that one should care for others as well as you would wish them to care for you *when the need arises*. Being a good neighbour should then transcend differences of race and ethnicity, not do away with them. In this way, one loves another as one self, whilst still being oneself and part of one's own community.

CONCLUSION

Both Old and New Testaments accept and recommend the existence of separate ethnic identities and nations as the best arena for human flourishing. This teaching is reflected in the views of such as the Philosopher Roger Scruton in his book *The Need for Nations*. So why are so many Christian leaders in Britain apparently in favour of the multiculturalisation of our country? Why, for example, do they seem to embrace a religion, Islam, which Christ himself would undoubtedly have condemned as the antithesis of his teaching of love for and forgiveness of one's enemies, given the message of hatred for non-believers and Mohammed's evil injunctions to be cruel towards them to be found in the *Koran* and the *Hadith*? The short answer is that, unlike the Eastern Orthodox Church, which has been inoculated against the insinuations of Marxism through living under Soviet Communism for the best part of a century, the Western Churches have been since the 1960s cultural revolution, thoroughly imbued with the neo-Marxist spirit of Political Correctness. They are influenced by the current theological thought, itself influenced by neo-Marxists currents, which stresses the oneness and equal value of the search for God in various religions and cultures, rather than the uniqueness of Christianity as being the truest or only path to the Transcendent. These developments are very recent in the history of Western Christianity.

Part of the problem is that Western Christians are guilt ridden about the wealth and success of the West, compared with the Third World. But Western Wealth and social and scientific progress have been created through the efforts and abilities of Western people, and the Christian spirit and Christian attitudes have played a major part in these developments.

It is ludicrous and contradictory to be ashamed of and to try to destroy through mass immigration the very society whose success has been such a magnet for others. But Western Science and methods have now spread elsewhere so that some Asian countries are now world leaders in science and technology. In some cases, such as Japan and Singapore, the citizens of these countries are wealthier than those of



Britain. China and India are rapidly becoming economic, scientific and military superpowers in their own right, likely to overshadow the West in the not too distant future. These Asian Countries do not themselves welcome multiculturalisation. On the contrary, only the West does that. Western guilt is a sort of patronising conceit. It is misplaced, self indulgent, and self destructive beyond reason.

Another problem is that it is assumed by those Christians who look forwards to the utopia of 'One World,' that loving one's own people must mean that one oppresses others. This assumption is part of leftist ideological hatred of the White race which is not borne out by the facts. A society does not oppress any one simply by existing and through its own merits being successful, any more than a family or an individual does. To think so is not to love others, but is unjustified self hatred, a psychological aberration.

Again, the idea that if one loves one's own people one must hate or denigrate others is a misconception which is not born out either by personal experience or by the studies. For example, J. C. Turner in his study of 1978 states: "Not only is in-group favoritism in the laboratory situation not related to outgroup dislike, it also does not seem causally dependent on denigration of the outgroup." (*Social categorisation and social discrimination in the minimal group paradigm* European Monographs on Social Psychology no 14 London Academic). As J. J. Ray puts it: "Thinking well of your own group, in other words, has virtually nothing to do with thinking ill of other groups. Ray goes on, 'Attitudes to outgroups can be shown from many sources and research modalities not to be a mirror of attitude to the ingroup. There is, furthermore, a substantial body of thought which sees pro-ingroup sentiment as something like self esteem ie, a positive influence and a basis for a healthy, adaptive and positive view of the world. It is hard to think well of outgroups if you do not think well of your own group. This is all a far cry from the simplistic conceptions of Sumner (1906) and Adorno et al (1950)." (J. J. Ray, University of NSW; Australia 1987).

Up to a few decades ago, the statement of Christian principles as regards patriotism and the national identity noted above would have been thought unexceptional. Anyone in their 50's knows that simple patriotism and love and respect for one's own people was the common coin of popular sentiment. Without it, no community can survive or be a success. The forced multiculturalisation of Britain, or in other words its deChristianisation, is not a victory for Christianity, but a defeat, brought about by the Marxists who hate it.

**Tim Heydon has Degrees and Diplomas in Social Science and the Humanities, and in Theology and is an MA of London University in Philosophy and Religion.*

How the 'Mighty' have Fallen

Bookmarks, the 'independent' socialist bookshop in Bloomsbury, London, which was started thirty years ago by the extremist, anti-British Socialist Workers Party, and which still operates as a front for the SWP, is fighting for its survival, (everyone say, 'Ahhh').

According to *The Camden New Journal*, Bookmarks is desperately searching for £50,000 to keep afloat - which tells you volumes about the level of interest the British people have in the extreme and poisonous revolutionary Marxist-Leninist doctrines peddled by Bookmarks. Supporting the shop's struggle for survival is hypocritical 'singer' Billy Bragg (the one who preaches the joys of multi-culturalism in Barking and Dagenham whilst living in an all white village on the Dorset coast!), aged failed politician Tony Benn (aka Anthony Wedgwood Benn, aka Lord Stansgate) and George Monbiot (the extreme and confused enviro-fascist writer for *The Guardian*). With supporters like that, who needs enemies?

Also supporting Bookmarks is the TUC which has appointed the shop its 'official supplier of books'. This tells you all you need to know about the TUC. Bookmarks makes no bones about its commitment to Marxist revolution and the destruction of

the British people and their way of life. How appropriate that the TUC should throw its hat into the ring!


Mind you, we need to keep a sense of perspective. *The Camden New Journal* quotes Becky Reese, Bookmarks' deputy manager, as saying, 'People can come in and ask advice and our staff know about the niche areas we cover.' That phrase, 'niche areas', says it all: the Socialist Workers Party, their layabout mates in Unite Against Fascism and the *Searchlight* organisation, the TUC Marxoid apparatchiks and Bookmarks itself, all represent a tiny handful of addled and outdated fanatics who have all too often wormed their way into positions of influence over the lives of decent and long-suffering ordinary Britons.


Not to worry: day by day the British National Party is winning hearts and minds and the day is not too distant when the Marxists and their fellow travellers will be shown the door by the British people and Bookmarks will close once and for all - unloved, unwanted and bankrupt.

Where will weirdos get
their books now?



RWB Picture Special







The recent defection of Tory MP, Quentin Davies to Labour provided further evidence, if evidence were needed, that there is virtually no real difference between the two main establishment parties. The cries of "treachery" and "betrayal" from those he had left behind were due to little more than tribal loyalty asserting itself; the 'my gang right or wrong' mentality rather than any difference on fundamental belief. In any event such allegations were somewhat rich as the Tory party itself has a shameful record of betrayal and treachery on just about any area of national importance.

David Cameron's election as Tory leader is undoubtedly a good thing. It has confirmed beyond all reasonable doubt that the Tory party is not only utterly incapable of reversing our national decline, but is unwilling to even try. Ever since his election as leader his party has been bombarded with the message that it needs to embrace 'change'. Britain has changed, they are told, so the Conservative Party must change with it. What they intend to change *into*, however, is unclear as nothing of substance has actually been said.

Also totally absent has been the notion that the Conservative party should actually try to *conserve* anything. Far from it. Instead, the message has been that in order to get into power the Tories must follow Labour's example and 'rebrand' themselves, ditching any principle or beliefs they had in the process. Hence their enthusiasm for homosexual marriage, adoption of ethnic candidates for no other reason than they are ethnic, the promotion

of homosexuality in schools, and so on.

The problem for Cameron is that the electorate might not see much point in voting for a party that offers no real change in policies from those of the existing government.

If, as leading Tories have complained, Labour has stolen their key policies, why should they be unhappy - after all, their ideas are being implemented? Why not just make a few mild corrective suggestions from the sidelines and let the current gang get on with it? What is the point in replacing one lot of multi-racialists, liberals, globalists and disciples of political correctness with another of almost exactly the same type?

The only possible answer can be that it's about power and power for power's sake; just one gang displacing another at the top. Those on the Conservative benches are not driven by any great or noble desire to save and reverse the decline of our nation. For them the driving force behind political activity is that of career, personal power and the status and media attention that goes with it. Rather than jeopardising their comfortable and well-paid jobs by trying to rescue our country, they are content to preside over its gradual disintegration.

Writing in the 04/10/03 edition of *The Spectator* magazine, Peter Hitchens wrote an article that contained the following remarks, which are as valid now as they were then:

"Can we all please stop pretending that the Conservative Party is worth saving or keeping, or that it can ever win another election? This delusion is an obstacle to the creation of a proper pro-British movement, neither bigoted nor politically correct, which is the only hope of ending the present one-party state. The continued existence of the Tory Party as a bogeyman with which to frighten dissenters is one of the few things that holds together the equally bankrupt Labour Party. Without it, the frequent Blairite cry (Now Brownite - Editor) of 'If you don't back me, the Thatcherites will return' could no longer be used. The Conservative Party, in all its shambling ineptitude and pathos is also a major reason for the growth of the Liberal Democrats, grateful recipients of the anyone-but-the-Tories vote..."

THE LEFT NEEDS THE TORY PARTY

A recent entry in Mr Hitchens' blog* underlined this point. He recounted a recent gathering he attended, together with other media commentators. One of those present was Peter Kellner, a left-wing journalist-turned-pollster who claimed that statistically the Tories stood no chance of forming a government:

"But then listen to how Mr Kellner responded

A Party That Conserves Nothing

» Dave Baxter contends that Conservative politicians are no longer driven by any ideals, but by achieving power, and often for personal gain. The function of today's Tory Party is to act as a barrier to the growth of the genuinely Nationalist BNP.



when I asked why so many people seemed anxious to pretend that the Tories are a serious force when they aren't really.

Mentioning that he had himself been a Labour Party member for 33 years, he said: "I think it's really important that the Conservative Party does survive as a substantial brand, because there will always be a need for a centre-right party."

Oh, really, I wondered as he spoke. What might that need be, and who felt it? Mr Kellner read my mind and continued: "If the Conservatives were to go the way that Peter expects (and I think possibly would relish) I am frightened as to what kind of right-of-centre politics would then spring up..."

"One of the great virtues of British politics...is that we have not had a substantial far-right nationalist xenophobic party in Britain. A substantial Conservative Party is our best bulwark against the kind of politics that I think could become very nasty".

The scary language about 'far-right', 'xenophobic' and 'nationalist' is just the jargon that Labour Party people like Mr Kellner use to describe those who want to leave the European Union, those who don't want mass immigration and those who think that criminals should be punished. As for things turning nasty, I think myself that this would be far more likely as long as there continues to be no mainstream party to speak for the people of Britain on such issues.

He is absolutely correct to see the Tory Party as the Left's best line of defence against the development of a party that was properly pro-British and socially conservative - and the Cameroon Tory MP Ed Vaizey, who was sitting on the same platform, did not leap in to disavow Mr Kellner's endorsement.

So there you have it. The Tories are the left's outer line of defence, and the left-wing media's love affair with Mr Cameron runs deeper than you thought. It's not just me saying it, and I am extremely grateful to Peter Kellner for his frankness in answering my question."

This, of course, has been

perfectly well understood by most nationalists for years. It is the Tory party that has consistently acted as a barrier to the formation of an effective combination of patriotic forces in Britain which might, by obtaining political power, reverse the tide of national betrayal, surrender, humiliation and decline.

Unfortunately there are those who will continue to support the Conservative Party out of blind, tribal loyalty, regardless of how little it represents their core beliefs. Others however, may not. We need to convince such people that not only has the Conservative Party failed, but so too has conservatism itself as a philosophy for national survival.

In his book, *'America's decline: The education of a conservative'* Professor Revilo P. Oliver observed the fatal weakness of conservatism:

"The parlour pink could destroy, but the parlour blue cannot build. The conservatism of bon mots, witty repartee, ingenious syllogisms, fashionable literary reminiscences, and a parvenu's anxious striving for 'moderation' and decorum among the teacups - that conservatism is too anaemic ever to emerge from the parlour into the open air of an inclement world..."

His conclusion was that America, and by implication the whole of the West, have far passed the point at which they could be saved by the preservation or restoration of traditional institutions. Such nostalgic aspirations for the past, he said:

"...are mere romanticism. They are dangerously antiquarian illusions today, when the only real fundamental question is whether our race still has the will-to-live or is so biologically degenerate it will choose extinction."

The failure of conservatism is due to the fact that it is essentially a defensive posture. Conservatives are forever on the retreat. After the dust has settled from each of the battles with the Left, it will be found that the Left has won half of what it was going after, while Conservatives have lost half of what they were suppose to be defending. But they will carry on as if nothing has happened, retreat to the new position in their rear and then defend that with the same ineptitude as they did the one they have just vacated.

Can anyone argue that this has not been the track record of British conservatism over the last sixty years?

What exactly are conservatives trying to conserve? For example, even if all immigration ceased tomorrow and every asylum seeker deported overnight, Britain would still have a population of around seven million people of non-European origin. Due to their higher birth rate and the increasing levels of miscegenation, the indigenous British population would still

become a minority in their own country sometime this century. How many conservatives who proclaim themselves 'patriots' have had the courage to even mention this, much less propose a solution?

This lack of courage typifies conservatism. Many are not just physical cowards who refuse to risk their social standing and 'respectability' by being labelled 'extremist' by those who are their enemies anyway. Not only do they lack the physical courage to stand up and tell the world what they believe, they also lack the moral courage to admit it to themselves!

Many conservatives are inwardly *ashamed* that they don't really want to live in non-White neighbourhoods and are *ashamed* they don't want their daughters going out with Blacks and possibly presenting them with a half-caste grandchild. Essentially, they are morally defeated before they begin, as they have allowed themselves to be convinced that their basic motivation is disreputable, and must be concealed - not just from others, but also from themselves.

The only real difference between liberal and conservatives afflicted by such feelings of guilt and shame is that the liberal *surrenders* to those feelings and tries to *compensate* for them, while the conservative tries to fight and *suppress* them.

Those who involve themselves in conservative parties such as the Tories and UKIP are deluding themselves. Such organisations are usually little more than ivory-tower sanctuaries where the timid can shelter from the harsh realities of the real world whilst safely preaching to the converted. For the most part, the individuals involving themselves in such activity do so not because they believe they can win, but because they know they will fail. It is an attempt at a dignified exit from real politics, giving them the undeserved kudos that at least they were 'doing something'.

Modern conservatism has prostituted itself to serve the interests of Free Market capitalism, which have brought ruin to our economy and made our nation dependent on, and subservient to, others. Such forces are inherently hostile to nationhood, sovereignty, culture and race as they are barriers to the maximisation of profits and a threat to their power. They would turn on the Tory party in an instant if ever it threatened their interests by following a truly pro-British agenda.


The reality is, as it has been for decades, that national salvation and resurgence cannot be achieved by conservatism but only by nationalism. For all its rough edges the British National Party is the only organisation that offers the slightest hope for our people and nation.

There are those who, even if they agreed with every one of our policies, would not join us. They simply lack the courage and the character to do so. For them cowardice and selfishness will always be the dominant motivations. Others, however, can be recruited. But we need to appeal to them not just on the basis of credible policies, but also on an emotional and spiritual level. Even in today's society there are people whose conscience will respond to calls for idealism, honour, loyalty, responsibility and duty. These are feelings that can overcome reluctance to 'get involved' and outweigh self-interest and purely personal preference and inspire people to make the sacrifices that our struggle demands in this time of crisis.

We are at a crossroads. In all probability the actions - or inactions - of the generation now living will decide whether our nation survives or dies a slow and debased death. Inaction to cowardice, self-delusion or putting personal interests before those of our people will mean the betrayal of the sacrifices of past generations; of those who have toiled, struggled and died. It will also deny those generations yet unborn their birthright.

Next time you see a group of children, consider this: unless we win our struggle they will become a racial minority in their lifetime. If we do nothing what is their future, and by implication, the future of our nation in a non-British Britain?

*[Http://hitchensblog.mailonsunday.co.uk/2007/06/at-last---the-1.html#comments](http://hitchensblog.mailonsunday.co.uk/2007/06/at-last---the-1.html#comments)



At the local elections earlier this year gains were predicted and some believed we could double our presence in Council Chambers the length and breadth of the land. But this did not happen. In consequence some of our hard working activists were wondering just what has to be done to wake up the voting public to the imminent demise of the once great nation of Britain.

I am sure that the above question and others have flashed through the mind of even the keenest activist and prospective candidate, but this short article aims to awaken you all to the reality of the situation as I see it.

For over fifty years our kinsfolk have been bombarded with liberal-left, neo-Marxist propaganda the kind of sustained bombardment that will not be annulled by a few well worded leaflets. The question you may quite rightly ask at this point is, "So just how do we succeed in the face of such a well organised and continuous onslaught?"

The answer is simple. There are two factors which will bring about the required revolution in public thinking. These are as follows.

1. Hard work by our increasing number of activists on the ground.
2. A change in the social environment of the electorate.

Obviously the first point is purely down to us. We have to continue to expand our geographical presence and stand candidates in as many areas as possible. Our membership must not only be willing to work, but must also be willing to educate themselves on the ideology of Nationalism. Passion for the cause is not enough - we must all understand *why* we are doing what we do, and *why* it is necessary to build a folkish movement.

SOCIAL CHAOS

The second point is out of our individual control, but it is on its way nonetheless. Britain (and indeed much of the Western World) is on the verge of social chaos. Our economy is fuelled by debt and the financial input of a minority of immensely wealthy individuals. Very soon the spiralling costs of living coupled with





>> Phil Reddall of Shrewsbury BNP gives his personal thoughts on how the growth of the BNP can be advanced to put us in a winning situation



the driving down of wages will result in a scenario where families cannot afford the luxuries which they enjoy at present.

Also, the aggressive nature of a hopeless and fearful youth will result in a huge increase in crime - mostly drug and alcohol related - which will strain the law enforcement authorities to the very limit.

The downward spiral of Public Services including the NHS, Fire Department, Police and also the smaller issues such as regular refuse collection, will also be a hammer blow to the easy-living lifestyle which has softened our people to the point of apathy.

In the midst of this change will come a revolution. However there are two ways in which a revolution can pan out; either democratically via the ballot box, or violently in civil war. Ironically, the more the liberal-establishment strives to destroy the BNP, the greater the likelihood of a violent end to this age of Britain, for we alone have the strength in numbers, the organisational ability and the general sympathy of the electorate (which wasn't truly indicated by the recent electoral results) to stop such an horrific and cataclysmic event.

So, if the overall possibility of winning a General Election is in some way out of our hands, what then can we do to ensure our beloved country isn't thrown into a futuristic scene from an apocalyptic Sci-Fi movie?

First and foremost, morale must be kept high amongst the membership. There has to be a cold, iron-clad belief behind the work that we do - a belief based upon knowledge rather than the kind of unbridled passion which comes from the over-consumption of ale and false bravado.

Next must be continued progress into new uncharted territory. Our membership base must be expanded in a controlled and disciplined manner, wards contested by determined individuals with strong unwavering support from their fellow activists, and new fields of influence developed.

BNPtv

Thirdly, but by no means any less important, the Party infrastructure must be built upon to provide a solid base. BNPtv has to reach its potential within a decade, and all areas of management have to be able to cope with any eventuality. In business, expansion often creates an environment where the management struggles to cope with the increased workload which comes from success.

Communication and proper use and allocation of funds are often the first things to fail, rendering a once viable business at worst bankrupt or else so inefficient that it loses its customer base. A political party, and indeed a nation, has to be run like a business, and for 'customer base' read 'voting base' or 'electorate'.

The British National Party will continue to make slow but steady progress to a point, but soon enough the tide will turn, whereupon any chinks in our armour would be widened irreparably. Liken it, if you will, to hauling a home-made sledge up a mountain: all at once, after a lot of hard work in craftsmanship and climbing, you find yourself at the summit. Then suddenly all that has taken so long to reach is immediately ahead, and the exhilaration and speed at which events suddenly occur is breathtaking.

Time will never stop ticking, and events are the combination of some outside force coupled with our own thoughts and actions (collectively called Wyrd by our Northern European ancestors) but with proper preparedness, strength and knowledge, we can be there to shape the best possible future for the people of these lands.

For too long we have gained peace of mind from the stories of past glories. Now is the time to take up the firebrand of our forefathers and ensure our spirit continues to burn brightly into the future. History is not just in the past. It is being created now, in the actions of those courageous enough to make their mark. Are you one of us?

John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

The Downside of Diversity

It has long been my view that as 'diversity' and 'divisive' have the same root prefix it is surprising that nobody seems to have wondered why, particularly those who speak glowingly of the alleged advantages of 'diversity'. But it seems that times are a changing, when we see such bastions of liberal thinking as America's *International Herald Tribune* 05.08.07 publish an extensive report on a new study that concluded that "greater diversity equals more misery" and that it is indeed 'divisive'. The study was based on detailed interviews of nearly 30,000 people across America and compiled by Harvard political scientist Robert Putnam, a liberal academic himself.

It found that the greater the diversity in a community, the fewer people vote and the less they volunteer, the less they give to charity and work on community projects. In the most diverse communities, neighbours trust one another about half as much as they do in the most homogenous settings. It found that virtually all measures of civic health are lower in more diverse settings.

The *Herald Tribune* article said that the study is already being cited by some conservatives as proof of the harm large-scale immigration causes to the nation's social fabric. But with demographic trends already pushing the nation inexorably toward greater diversity, "the real question may yet lie ahead: how to handle the unsettling social changes that Putnam's research predicts".

It is interesting to note that Putnam had spent most of his academic life pushing diversity and was so shocked by the results of the study that he spent two years trying to see where it had "gone wrong" before publishing it. The most he could say to ease his liberal conscious was to challenge the two dominant schools of thought on ethnic and racial diversity, the "contact" theory and the "conflict" theory. Under the contact theory, he says, more time spent with those of other backgrounds leads to greater understanding and harmony between groups. Under the conflict theory, that proximity produces tension and discord. It is the latter that his study really revealed, although, according to the article, he was searching for every reason to deny it.

Brown's New Advisers

In this Notebook in the May issue we revealed that Sir Ronald Cohen, the founder of the private equity business in the UK (the experts in asset stripping) has been one of Gordon Brown's most trusted informal advisers over the past decade. Now that Brown is installed in No.10, Sir Ronald becomes one of the most senior members of his inner circle of advisers.

The *Sunday Telegraph*, 12.08.07 reported that Brown's has appointed Jon Mendelsohn as his director of general election resources. Interestingly, in view of Brown's straight-laced image, apart from previous lobbying work on behalf of Ladbrokes the bookmakers, Mr Mendelsohn once represented a colourful business woman, known one time as the 'porn princess', who made a fortune from sex chatlines and online gambling.

The Prime Minister's most controversial recruit is Mark Malloch-Brown, now Lord Malloch-Brown. Although only a junior minister at the Foreign Office he has had a role as a major global statesman and international guru. Apart from stints with a Washington political consultancy and the World Bank, he has spent most of his career working for the United Nations, hence his view that Britain should hand over its seat at the UN Security Council to the EU, of which he admits to being "a huge fan". He has just vacated his tenancy of a five-acre property in New York State, owned by his close friend George Soros, the Hungarian-born hedge fund billionaire.

Then we have the cabinet minister Ed Balls, a long term acolyte of Gordon Brown. He used taxpayers' money to attend this year's meeting in Canada of the shadowy Bilderberg Group when he was Economic Secretary to the Treasury. The mysterious Bilderberg Group always meets in secret and journalists are not permitted to cover its meetings. No reports of what it discusses are ever published.

Who's Hiding Hidden Unemployment?

Lib-Lab-Con politicians seeking votes and company bosses seeking cheap labour tell us we need immigrants (including the East Europeans) because we have a labour shortage, with only 1.65 million unemployed. A case can be made for saying we have a *skilled* labour shortage amongst British youth, which is why the building industry, for example, has to employ skilled Polish carpenters, plumbers, etc. This is an outcome of our disastrous education system.

We need to be told what the true level of unemployment really is in Britain, and not let it be hidden by those who have a vested interest in wanting more immigrants.

Several media commentators of late have raised the question of the abuse of the disability benefit system, which now has nearly three million claimants. Of these it is estimated that possibly as much as half could be in some form of employment, but prefer to 'work the system'.

Now comes a study by researchers at Sheffield Hallam University (only reported upon in *The Guardian* to my knowledge) which confirms this view and found that in addition to the official number of people out of work there are another 1.7million "hidden jobless." The report said the main reason for the discrepancy was that "the official unemployment figures failed to count those diverted on to other benefits or out of the welfare system altogether." It added: "In particular, one million of the 2.7 million people on incapacity benefit should be regarded as being in hidden unemployment." It noted that the figures show that hidden unemployment is particularly concentrated in the older industrial areas of the north, Scotland and Wales. They have suffered most from Britain's subservience to globalism with a real rate of unemployment well above 10%.

The latest Office for National Statistics figures show that in total there are 7.9 million people defined as "economically inactive". This is by also including people who have taken time off from work to look after their family, students, those who have taken early retirement and those who have just stopped looking for work.

Significantly, and confirming our example above of the building industry, the report says: "The surge in migrants from the EU, especially Poland, appears to have occurred not so much because there are no unemployed to fill job vacancies but rather because the migrants are better able or more willing to fill the jobs that are available."



Who's been hiding the unemployment figures?



Med Bro

Political Correctness and the Doctors Fiasco

The inquiry into the latest NHS fiasco which has led to 32,000 junior doctors fighting for fewer than 20,000 training posts says that very poor candidates were shortlisted, and "formulaic and politically correct" 30-minute interviews made it difficult for the best candidates to stand out. This is shorthand for implying that priority was often given to the overseas trained applicants irrespective of their ability. The inquiry found the brightest candidates were penalised by standardised recruitment forms lacking sufficient space for applicants to detail academic achievements and that plagiarism was widespread.

There was a total in England of 29,193 junior doctors, but of these only 13,600 were UK graduates; the rest came from overseas. Just over 9,000 of the UK graduates have secured a job, but this leaves 4,000 of them without a post and they will probably have to seek work overseas. Their training through our medical schools is at a cost to the taxpayer of £250,000 each.

This again emphasises the correctness of the BNP view that much of the recruitment of overseas doctors and nurses is unnecessary. In addition it is an act of hypocrisy in that we are depriving Third World countries of much needed medical staff. Most countries in sub-Saharan Africa, for example have only one tenth of the doctors and nurses pro rata.

Media Silence on Murder of BNP Activist

As visitors to the BNP website (www.bnp.org.uk) will know, the funeral of BNP activist and family man Keith Brown, aged 52, was held in Blurton, Stoke-on-Trent on July 26th. His coffin was carried by six Stoke BNP councillors, with BNP Chairman, Nick Griffin, in attendance. Keith had been murdered, in his own garden in front of his family, in an altercation with his Muslim neighbours.

The police, local press and local TV and radio reported that Keith had died as the result of "a dispute between neighbours". Had the roles of victim and perpetrators been reversed it is guaranteed that the media and the police would have gone to extraordinary lengths to present this as another example of "white racism".

The so-called neighbourhood dispute first started when Keith Brown's neighbours decided to move the fence that divides their property an extra two feet in their favour. Keith tried all he could to get the council's legal team to stop the erection of concrete posts and new fence panels on his property, but nothing was done. Every morning Keith would open his curtains to see this encroachment on his personal space and, as the large Muslim

family started to take pleasure in goading him about the invasion of his property, his quality of life became minimal. Amazingly it was Keith who found himself dragged to court on a trumped up charge of "racism", but thankfully his West Indian neighbour appeared as a witness, describing the charges as ridiculous. Even-tually the case was thrown out.

On July 6th Keith had just returned from picking up his three youngest children from school when he was confronted by a group of Muslim men outside his house. Whilst Keith was remonstrating with these men another Muslim man crept from behind a car and plunged a large knife in his back. As he lay dying, the gang then set about his 19-year-old son leaving him hospitalised.



Media silence over Keith Brown's murder

We are still waiting to hear the date of the court case for this murder. It certainly won't appear in the national press.

Keeping Quiet on the Girl Kebabs

On August 7th the trial of two men of Pakistani origin at Preston Crown Court collapsed because the jury failed to reach a verdict and a retrial was ordered. The trial had lasted for nearly two months, but hardly a word appeared in the

national press or on

national TV. Yet,


as Rod Elliot, one of our readers,

told me, it was a gold mine of tabloid

sensationalism; a modern Sweeney Todd intrigue involving family breakdown, underage sex, forced prostitution, murder, racism(although not white on black), unusual fast-food recipes and unwitting cannibalism. What more could a tabloid wish for?

The trial concerned the alleged murder of 14-year-old Blackpool girl Charlene Downes. It was claimed that after being groomed by the accused for sex with Muslim customers, she was sexually assaulted, murdered and made into kebabs which were sold in one of the accused's shop for the people of Blackpool to eat. We await the retrial with interest and with little doubt that it will also be met with a media blackout.

As Rod Elliot puts it, the entire UK media is racist to the core against whites. Although even the stench of London hypocrisy cannot overpower the aroma of human kebabs.



What's in your Doner Kebab?

Build a Severn Barrage

The BNP fully supports the campaign to develop alternative energy sources to oil and gas, not necessarily because of the globalists' exploitation of 'global warming' (which appears to have made no advance since 1998), but because we were the first of the UK's political parties to warn of Peak Oil. There has been much hype over wind power as an alternative to our diminishing and ever more expensive oil and gas supplies. They have been good business for the German and Danish manufacturers, but their erratic supply of energy is minimal.

If we had the spirit of some of the great Victorian engineers, and a government whose concept of long term thinking was a little more than the week after next, we would be planning to build a barrage across the Severn Estuary. It has the second highest tidal range in the world and unlike windmills it is guaranteed to perform twice a day, every day. French engineers found this out over 40 years ago when they built the Rance Estuary barrage in Brittany (admitted it is a much smaller operation than the Severn) which has been churning out cheap, clean electricity ever since.

According to David Hughes, writing in *The Daily Telegraph* last month, a Severn barrage would generate enough electricity to supply seven per cent of Britain's energy. It would cost about £14 billion, which is roughly two thirds of the cost of the Eurofighter.

LETTER OF THE MONTH

Bognor Regis
OBSERVER

This letter was published in the Bognor Regis Observer. It was chosen by the Editor as Letter of the Month and the author receives a year's subscription to Identity. When submitting a published letter please attach a copy of the newspaper's masthead.

For all those who have not read his thoughts on building nationalist strongholds I would urge you to do so now, as this is clearly the way forward and the path we in the BNP should be following as it will surely bring us to the doors of power. The

The Unholy Alliance

- Islam & the Left

Britain has thwarted several terror plots, including a scheme by a British Muslim to blow up the New York Stock Exchange, and a plan by Muslim extremists to use liquid explosives to blow up as many as 10 flights between Britain and the USA. The London night club and Glasgow Airport attacks failed only because of the terrorists' bungling incompetence. In December 2006 the then Home Secretary John Reid revealed there were thirty investigations into Muslim conspiracies to plant bombs and murder innocent people. The latest figure is over forty.

There is supposed to be 1.5 million Muslims in Britain, which is a great underestimate because (like so many figures relating to immigration) it is based on the outdated 2001 census. There is in Britain the largest population of Pakistani immigrants anywhere, and large populations of immigrants from India and Africa, many of whom complain of anti-Muslim bias. Militant opposition to our society is growing among younger, second-generation Muslims.

In part this is being galvanised by Labour's illegal invasions of Iraq and Afghanistan, in part it results from growing

» Contrary to the image that Gordon Brown is projecting that his Labour Government will keep us safe from further Muslim terrorist attacks, David Hamilton reveals that there is in fact a 'realistic alliance' between certain Socialist sections of the Labour party and militant Muslims. Like Blair, Brown shows no signs of expelling these Socialist collaborators - in fact he is one of them.

influence of the hardline anti-kuffar (non-Muslim) stance of the Deoband sect, the Indian sub-continental version of Saudi Arabia's Wahhabi fundamentalism.

In a poll published by *The Daily Telegraph* 40 percent of young Muslims said they would prefer to live under Islamic law in Britain. One in eight said they admired groups such as Al-Qaeda that are "prepared to fight the West."

IMPORTING TERROR

In addition to this home-grown Islamic radicalism, Government ministers have compromised the safety of the public by sneaking in immigrants, many of whom are potential terrorists. *The Sunday Times* of March 28, 2004 reported that former immigration minister Beverley Hughes personally authorised a policy to allow tens of thousands of migrants into Britain without adequate checks, according to a leaked Home Office document. Although she was initially cleared by an internal inquiry into the "fast-tracking" of immigrants, new evidence later showed that the minister approved far more than she admitted.

Men who fought for the Taliban against our soldiers have been granted asylum, Algerian terrorists have flooded in and countless Iraqis trained and of military age have also been admitted as asylum seekers.

Over 3000 Al-Qaeda terrorists trained in Afghanistan are living here and more arrive every day. There are terror cells right across Britain as shown by the locations of police raids following the bombings and attempted bombings.

In November 2006 it was reported that the Government was advertising for immigrants to come here. A Foreign Office pamphlet declares: 'Multicultural Britain - A Land Of Immigrants'. It encourages immigrants to move here because of the preferential treatment they get under the Human Rights Act and well-paid jobs. The Foreign Office put the pamphlet in embassies

across the world. It was first discovered in our embassy in Pakistan, well known as a centre of Muslim terrorism.

In addition to conspiracies aimed at Britain, such as the recent Doctors' Plot, British-based terrorists - a mixture of 'homegrown' and imported - have carried out operations in Pakistan, Afghanistan, Kenya, Tanzania, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Israel, Morocco, Russia, Spain, and America. Many governments - Jordanian, Egyptian, Moroccan, Spanish, French, and American - have protested against London's refusal to shut down its Islamist terrorist infrastructure or extradite wanted

Nor is the British Establishment's guilt on this matter confined to letting in terrorists. On 27th July 2005 BBC reporter Phil Mackie admitted on Radio 5's Brian Hayes 10pm programme that the BBC censors the truth by being selective in its broadcasting of Muslim statements. The Government too is committed to appeasement. A totalitarian law against inciting religious hatred has been introduced at the behest of Muslim leaders. If enacted this would prevent any attempt to explain how Islamic extremism originates in the teachings of the Koran itself, and thus make it impossible to



operatives.

Meanwhile, the Muslim community shelters extremists and illegal immigrants, and even supposed 'moderates' excuse the radicalisation and terror by blaming us and our society. The terrorists, they say, are impoverished, 'excluded', lack opportunities and are enraged by Israel and the USA. Strange that they are never 'enraged' by Muslims slaughtering Muslims in Iraq, or Muslims murdering Christians in Pakistan.

Suicide bombers are glorified as 'shahid' or martyrs and terrorism is part of the Palestinian mentality. In Britain they are bombers because of ideology, not social conditions, and many young Muslims hero-worship them. The extremists are often not poor, suffering racism or poverty, but middle class Muslims born and educated in Britain who regard us as their enemies based on the ideology of hatred they have been taught in the mosques. This is an "enemy within" that does not have to invade because it was imported by our elites who pour tax payers' money into their communities.

understand the nature of its threat to our society.

Blair was personally committed to the intervention in Kosovo to help the Muslims annex that part of Serbia. The Government funded the reconstruction of Bosnia to help Bosnian Muslims. Tony Blair championed the entry of Turkey into the EU. One of the key policies promoted by Gordon Brown, both as Chancellor and now as Prime Minister, has been development aid, with 33 of the countries receiving British foreign aid being Muslim. Since 1997, the British aid assistance to Bangladesh alone has doubled and is now £114million a year. This shows the real nature of foreign aid: transferring our money to the foreign elites and political causes supported by our elites.

Within weeks of coming to power Labour repealed the Primary Purpose Rule that stopped people bringing their families here from Pakistan, Bangladesh and other Muslim countries. Less than a month after becoming Prime Minister, Gordon Brown announced that he was restoring the 'stakeholder' status of the Muslim Council

Goodbye to

Oppression

Roll Out the Wagon

Where Has My Country Gone?

Colin Auty

Truth Hurts

by Colin Auty

The new album by BNP Councillor Colin Auty. Available to buy online at www.greatwhiterecords.com or by sending a cheque or postal order made payable to 'Great White Records' for £11.00 (inc. p&p) to PO Box 74, Market Weighton, York, YO43 4WT

of Britain - a favoured position of influence and as the recipient of vast amounts of taxpayers' money which had been removed temporarily by Blair on account of the MCB's clear Islamist agenda.

Nor is this treason confined to the central Labour government. Members of the Labour-Islam axis used taxpayers' money to help finance the 2005 London suicide bombers. Labour-run Leeds City Council gave more than £125,000 to premises and projects used by the Edgware Road bomber to recruit and train his terror cell. The money was spent on building work and equipment at two Leeds properties used by the bombers, as well as on Al-Qaeda away-days, including the white water-rafting trip used as the final 'bonding' activity for the bombers just before they planted the bombs. Edgware Road bomber Mohammad Sidique Khan received massive funding for four Leeds-based Muslim youth groups.

Leeds Labour councillors gave £106,000 for the Leeds Community school, based in Beeston, where some of the bombs were made. The secrecy Khan needed for such activities was guaranteed by a council grant of £1,582 "to improve security at the premises." He also raked in grants for the Iqra Learning Centre, a Muslim bookshop which distributed videos glorifying suicide bombers, and £16,000 for equipment for a Muslim boys only gym in the basement of a mosque in Hardy Street, Beeston, where he recruited two of the other 7/7 murderers, Shehzad Tanweer and Hassib Hussain. The gang went on away-day trips paid for by a £1,535 grant to the Youth Support Service to take Muslim youths on sporting activities and educational trips.

The seeds of terror thus sown by the Islamist/Socialist Alliance are falling on fertile soil. At Shehzad Tanweer's memorial funeral in his home village in Pakistan, an estimated 10,000 mourners chanted 'Jihad, Jihad, Jihad' and eulogised the suicide bomber. Such feelings, of course, are kept under wraps in Britain, but the truth that emerged revealed how much support for murder attacks on us exists across Muslim states, and among growing numbers of radicalised young Muslims in Britain.

Many big names in the Labour regime go out of their way to pander to and inflame this extremism. In November 2001 the Mayor of London, Ken Livingstone, said that British Muslims who fought for the Taliban in Afghanistan should not be prosecuted for treason if they return to this country. In an interview with *The Daily Telegraph* he called on the police to be lenient: "We've got to accept that these people went off because of a deep sense of injustice about what's happening in Israel and the West Bank. The West should understand that they and the Al-Qaeda network feed off a genuine injustice in the Middle East."

In July 2004, Livingstone was at it again, defending a Muslim cleric who

supported suicide bombings, executing homosexuals, wife beating and killing Jews. Mayor Livingstone called the sheik an honoured guest. Egyptian-born al-Qaradawi said he had been visiting London for 30 years and was baffled by the fuss. In a pre-recorded TV interview, the cleric called for suicide bombers to kill Allied soldiers in Iraq. In London he spoke in support of suicide bombings by Palestinians in Israel. On BBC2's News Night he urged Iraqis to rise against Coalition forces. If they failed, he said, suicide bombers should be used against them. The Crown Prosecution Service said there was not enough evidence to prosecute him on the grounds of his speeches.

More subtly, but still providing 'justification' for terrorism, Cherie Blair stated she "...understands how people are driven to suicide bombing". In the run-up to the General Election of 2005 the Labour party issued posters of pigs flying with the faces of Michael Howard and Oliver Letwin - who are both Jewish - superimposed.

In an openly anti-Semitic plea to the Muslim community to support Labour, Government minister Mike O'Brien wrote in *Muslim World* in early 2005 that "The government has obediently introduced

controversial legislation"(the law against religious hatred) at the behest of Muslim leaders. The article also implied that Muslims should not vote for Michael Howard because he is Jewish.

O'Brien boasted, "when the Americans and Israelis refused to negotiate with Yasser Arafat, Tony Blair promptly sent myself as the Foreign Office Minister, to visit Yasser Arafat in the Muquata in Ramallah to convey the message that we had not abandoned him. Tony Blair's message was clear: we will work with the elected leader of the Palestinians, even if the Americans will not.

"On the issue of the assassination of the leaders of Hamas, Jack Straw as the Foreign Secretary was the first Western politician to condemn Israel's actions."

Nor is the endless appeasement of Islamist violence confined to the British Establishment. In November 2003, the European Union's "Monitoring Centre on Racism and Xenophobia" suppressed a report on the rise of anti-Semitism. The survey had found that "many anti-Semitic incidents were carried out by Muslim and pro-Palestinian groups," and so a "political decision" was taken not to publish it because of "fears that it would increase hostility towards Muslims."

The Europhile drive to expand to include Muslim Turkey and to forge ever closer links with the countries of North Africa has rightly been described as a plan to create a hybrid monster called 'Eurabia' - a state which would mix the socialist totalitarianism of the EU with ever-growing doses of Islamic fundamentalism. If the trends are not reversed, they will utterly destroy our entire civilisation.

Which, of course, as far as the Islamists and the Socialists alike are concerned, is the intention. The terrorism, the riots here and in France, the narco-terrorism which is destroying our youngsters, the Islamic indoctrination in schools, threats and assassinations against the most outspoken opponents of Islam, even the decision of many Muslim immigrants to move to the West and raise large numbers of children at taxpayers' expense - these and many more things are part of a religious war against the West in general and Christians and Jews in particular.

WAR ON THE WEST


The Socialists share this desire to destroy Western civilisation and identity, and the white nations which produced them, for all these things are the stumbling block on which their drive to create a global Communist Utopia fell in the last century. Islam now provides them with a new weapon with which to destroy our society, with radicalised Muslims providing the revolutionary shock troops which the old European working classes failed to produce.

Even the Muslims' anti-Semitism is grist to the Socialist mill, because the high ethno-centricism and above average intelligence of the Jews has made them both successful and ideal targets for Marxist envy. The past clashes of interest between European nationalism and ethnically conscious Jews mean nothing to either the Muslims or the left, we're all 'Crusaders' or 'fascists' to them.

Likewise, the Blairs, Livingstones and Galloways are happy to abandon their old 'rainbow' coalition partners - the feminists and homosexuals - now that the Muslims provide a better bet as the force to break up and destroy our society.

All of which the ideologues of radical Islam understand and exploit for their own, far older, Millennial ends. To them this is no different to the way in which North African Christians thought that the Muslim conquest would rid them of oppression from Rome, or when Jews in Spain opened the gates of Christian cities in the hope of a better deal from Islam, or Louis XIV of France providing cannons to the Muslim army besieging Vienna in the hope of them giving his Hapsburg rivals a bloody nose.

Greed, folly and treason among its enemies have always been expansionist Islam's great secret weapons. This time is no different.



TARGET LONDON!

"The BNP's biggest chance to break into the mainstream yet". This is how 'Red' Ken Livingstone has described next May's mayoral and Assembly elections in our capital city.

Because the London Assembly is elected with a proportional representation system, the BNP can win one seat with just 5% of the vote, and two with around 8%. Despite Labour's demographic gerrymandering, a British National Party breakthrough is a very real possibility.

But only if we have the money for a really big campaign, starting this Autumn. The bare minimum needed is £50,000. If we don't get it, we'll miss our big chance; if we win, the shockwaves will be huge.



Yes, I want to help build the party that stands up to Islamic terror, I enclose a cheque made payable to 'British National Party' for

☐

£10

☐

£25

☐

£1000

☐

£50

☐

£100

☐

Other:

Name:

Address:

Please send to: The Secretary, PO Box 158, Deeside, CH5 2WW

Please send an SAE if you require an acknowledgement for sums of £25 or less

Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Overseas air mail Europe £23.20 ☐ £46.40 ☐

Overseas air mail Middle East, North and South America £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Overseas air mail Australasia, Far East £26.90 ☐ £53.70 ☐

Please Note: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at www.bnp.org.uk. Photocopies can be used.

Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £17; 15 - £24; 20 - £31; 25 - £38; 30 - £44; 40 - £58; 50 - £71; 60 - £84;
80 - £110; 100 - £135

Postage - £2.15 for 5; £3.90 for 10; £7.75 for 15 - 25; £8.80 for 30 - 40;
£10.00 for 50; £10.80 for 60; £12.55 for 80-100

re Special

